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Part 1- Background

What is MOLST?

• **Definition:** “Medical Orders for Life Sustaining Treatment”: a portable medical form signed by both patient and health care providers (MD, RN, or PA) after discussion with the patient or surrogate; The form translates a patient’s wishes for end of life care into immediately actionable medical orders respected across health care settings.

• **Goal:** To implement a process in MA for communicating patients’ wishes for care at the end of life similar to the Physician Order for Life Sustaining Treatment (POLST) Program started in Oregon (1995) and now in states such as WA, NC, WI, NY, WV, and CA.
Why do this?

There is national evidence...

- Many patients and families are not aware of their options for medical care at the end of life and have not discussed their wishes surrounding invasive or other end of life treatments, hospice and palliative care\(^1\).\(^2\).

- **MOLST allows you to discuss AND document your specific life-sustaining treatments.**

- Even patients and families who are aware of their service options and who have communicated their wishes to their PCP may not have those wishes honored, due to failure of communication among providers or of documents to transfer across settings\(^3\).

- The MOLST form is intended to accompany the patient from setting to setting as a medical order. DPH will allow OEMS to honor MOLST in the demonstration area while encouraging other regulated entities/regulators to accept MOLST.

- 70% of Americans have indicated a preference to die at home\(^4\) and significant resources have been devoted to this goal the last 20 years including enacting laws to make it easier for individual preferences to be honored.

- In MA there has been a considerable shift in location of death mostly from hospital to nursing home\(^5\). In addition, by defining preferences for of life-sustaining treatment using MOLST, you are possibly defining where you will spend your last days or hours.

---


5. Data from Joan Teno.
The evidence in MA...

- In 1997, the proportion of deaths at home ranged from 15% to 36% across the 50 states, with a national mean of 24.9%\(^5\);

- In MA, 20% of deaths in MA occurred in homes, and in 2005, the number had only risen to 22%\(^5\).

- That said, with over 62 hospices providing care for terminally ill people throughout MA, in 2007 Massachusetts hospices cared for approximately **full 40%** of all deaths in Massachusetts. (21,258 patients, up from 5,359 patient in 1991)\(^6\)

- Paralleling the 2008 Dartmouth Atlas study findings, the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy found significant variation in resource use at the end of life from one MA hospital to another, with patient preference unlikely to explain most of this variation\(^7\).

---


The timing is right. The support is here.

In April, 2008, HCQCC release its first annual report.

- **6 Goals with strategies** (“recommendations”) for insurers, employers, and consumers to improve health care quality while reducing costs

- **1 Goal for end of life care**: “Develop processes and measures to improve adherence to patient wishes for care at the end of life.”

  1st recommendation acted on: “hospitals, nursing homes, physicians, and other providers should implement by 2010 a process for communicating patients’ wishes for end of life care similar to Oregon’s POLST Program (Physician Orders for Life Sustaining Treatment); The POLST Paradigm program is now used in other states including NY, CA, WI, WV, NC, and WA.
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**Physician Orders for Life-Sustaining Treatment (POLST)**

First, follow these orders: **do not** contact physician, NP, or PA. These medical orders are based on the person’s current medical condition and preferences. Any section not completed does not invalidate the form and implies full treatment for that section.

**CARDIOPULMONARY RESUSCITATION (CPR):** Person has no pulse and is not breathing.

- [ ] Attempt Resuscitation/CPR
- [ ] Do Not Attempt Resuscitation/DNR (Allow Natural Death)

When not in cardiopulmonary arrest, follow orders in B, C, and D.

**MEDICAL INTERVENTIONS:** Person has pulse and/or breathing

- [ ] Comfort Measures Only: Use medication by any route, positioning, wound care and other means to relieve pain and suffering. Use oxygen, suction and manual treatment of airway obstruction as needed for comfort. Do not transfer to hospital for life-sustaining treatment. Transfer if comfort needs cannot be met in current location.

- [ ] Limited Additional Interventions: Includes care described above. Use medical treatment, IV fluids and cardiac monitor as indicated. Do not use intubation, advanced airway interventions, or mechanical ventilation. May consider less invasive airway support (e.g., CPAP, BiPAP). Transfer to hospital if indicated. Avoid intensive care.

- [ ] Full Treatment: Includes care described above. Use intubation, advanced airway interventions, mechanical ventilation and cardioversions as indicated. Transfer to hospital if indicated. Includes intensive care.

**Additional Orders:**

---

**ANTIBIOTICS**

- [ ] No antibiotics. Use other measures to relieve symptoms.

- [ ] Determine use or limitation of antibiotics when infection occurs.

- [ ] Use antibiotics if medically indicated.

**Additional Orders:**

---

**ARTIFICIALLY ADMINISTERED NUTRITION:** Always offer food by mouth if feasible.

- [ ] No artificial nutrition by tube.

- [ ] Defined trial period of artificial nutrition by tube.

- [ ] Long-term artificial nutrition by tube.

**Additional Orders:**

---

**REASON FOR ORDERS AND SIGNATURES**

My signature below indicates to the best of my knowledge that these orders are consistent with the person’s current medical condition and preferences as indicated by the discussion with:

- [ ] Patient
- [ ] Health Care Representative
- [ ] Parent or Guardian
- [ ] Court-Appointed Guardian
- [ ] Other

Print Primary Care Provider Name

Print Signing Physician / NP / PA Name and Phone Number

Print Signature (mandatory)

Date

---

**SEND FORM WITH PERSON WHENEVER TRANSFERRED OR DISCHARGED**

© CENTER FOR EMERGIC CARE, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Stark St., Portland, OR 97239-1008 (503) 494-3565
Sections

1. CPR (person not breathing; has no pulse)
2. Intubation and mechanical ventilation (person has pulse and may or may not be breathing)
3. Transfer to hospital (yes, yes but not to ICU, no)
4. Signature of MD or authorized NP or PA
5. Signature of the person OR the surrogate decision-maker (can be parent of minor child, health care agent appointed by the person, court-appointed guardian)
Core principles

1. MOLST must be completed by a healthcare professional based on the current medical condition and treatment preferences of the patient/resident and the patient/resident.

1. Form is reviewed and a new form completed if:
   1. Patient/resident transferred from 1 care setting or level to another
   2. Substantial change in health status, or
   3. The patient/resident’s treatment preferences change.

1. Patient/resident OR surrogate (if patient incapacitated) can revoke the form at any time and request different treatment.

1. Form should be sent with patient/resident whenever transferred or discharged.

1. Use of original form encouraged but copies, faxes, or electronic copies valid.
How will MOLST build upon our current system of documenting patient wishes in MA?

- The MA CC/DNR protocol is a protocol authorized and mandated by the MA DPH.
- The MOLST Worcester demonstration does not change the role of this Protocol in MA.
- **MOLST is an improvement on the MA Comfort Care/Do Not Resuscitate program in that it covers more types of treatment and within/across more treatment settings.**
  - During the demonstration project, providers will be encouraged to use both forms as complements.
MOLST Steering Committee Core Organizations*

- U. Mass Memorial Medical Center (UMMMC)
  - University Campus
  - Memorial Campus
  - Marlboro Hospital (participation TBD)
  - Ethics Committee
- EOHHS-Executive Office of Elder Affairs
- EOHHS- MassHealth
- MA Department of Public Health
- Partners HealthCare System

Other partners: Governor’s Office, VNA Hospice and Hospice, Palliative Care Federation of Massachusetts, Long-term Care Foundation, Mass Health Data Consortium, Better Endings Partnership (Worcester).
MOLST Project Origins

NOTE: New MA EOL Expert Panel: Created based on amendments last Fall to the original MA health care reform legislation
MOLST Project Committee Structure

**MOLST Steering Committee**
Chairs: Andy Epstein and Ruth Palombo

**Work Groups**

- **Program Development**
  Chairs: Mary Valliere and Dominique Kim
- **Evaluation**
  Chair: Rick McManus
- **Education & Outreach**
  Chair: Pauline Edmonds

**EOHHS**

- Andy Epstein
  Programmatic Liaison
  EOHHS Dept. of Public Health
  - OEMS
  - Legal aspects
  - Regulatory aspects

- Ruth Palombo
  Programmatic Liaison EOHHS
  Executive Office of Elder Affairs
  - Legislative
  - EOHHS
  - Leadership

**UMMS Center for Health Policy Research**

- Tom McLaughlin, Senior Director
  Richard McManus, Project Lead
  Jena Adams, MOLST Project Director

- Pam Macleod
  Senior Program Development Associate
  (until 6/09)

- Peg Metzger, Project Consultant

**Clinical Liaisons**

- Mary Valliere
  Clinical Liaison UMMC
  - University campus
  - Memorial campus
  - Marlboro Hospital ? (TBD)
  - Ethics committee

- Marc Restueccia
  Clinical Liaison EMS
  - UMMHC EMS
  - First responders
  - Town/private EMS

- TBD
  Clinical Liaison sub-acute care
  - Shrewsbury N & R
  - Notre Dame LTCC
  - Jewish Healthcare Center

- TBD
  Clinical Liaison home care
  - VNA Care Network Hospice
  - UMMMC Home Health & Hospice
  - Jewish Home Hospice?
**Demonstration project local participants**

*U. Mass Worcester community (Central MA)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospitals (U. Mass Memorial Medical Center- UMMMC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• University Campus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Memorial Campus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Marlboro Hospital (TBD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ethics Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-term acute care</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Parkview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Whittier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fairlawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-acute care</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Shrewsbury Nursing &amp; Rehabilitation (short &amp; long-term care)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Jewish Health Care Center (1 assisted living and 1 long term care facility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Notre Dame Long Term Care Center &amp; Hospice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Home Care</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• UMMMC Home Health &amp; Hospice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Jewish Home Hospice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Visiting Nurse Agency Care Network &amp; Hospice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EMS Services (Worcester office)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• First responders and town/private EMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local supporting senior care and related organizations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Demonstration Project Deliverables

Timeframe: CY2009 - CY2010

- **Program Development Workgroup:**
  - Completion of MOLST form for MA
  - Create administrative policies and procedures per local setting
  - Train providers on use and implementation of program
  - Maintain ongoing communication with local providers/stakeholder participants in demonstration project
  - Work with DPH and legal experts to address policy/legal/regulatory issues.

- **Education and Outreach Workgroup:**
  - Initial and ongoing consumer education on palliative care and MOLST.

- **Evaluation Workgroup:**
  - Process and outcome measurement to assess the attitudes and effectiveness of the MOLST program in the demonstration project.
  - Results to serve as the basis for spread of the MOLST program state-wide.

*All workgroups, not just evaluation, incorporate communication to stakeholders and sustainability of the model into their planning and timelines.*
Communication to Project Stakeholders

DHHS/State level:
• Health Care Quality and Cost Council (HCQCC)
  – JudyAnn Bigby, MD: Secretary of Executive Office of HHS (MA)
• End of Life Subcommittee of the HCQCC
• The MA Panel on End of Life Care (1st meeting 4/27)
• MOLST Steering Committee

Local demonstration project area:
• Task Force clinical members/groups
• Non-clinical stakeholders (administrators, community agencies/organizations) impacted by the MOLST project, e.g. neighboring primary and tertiary care providers
Challenges and Next Steps

A Big Challenge:
• Clarify the legal implications of MOLST *in practice*
  – When there is no proxy and the patient is incapacitated, will the default surrogate be permitted to sign the MOLST form and make decisions on behalf of the patient?
  – Will default surrogate status be “honored” across all settings along the continuum? Has to be for MOLST to be fully effective.

Next Steps:
• Complete demonstration project and evaluation
• Spread MOLST program throughout MA
• Electronic registry
  – Since POLST started in 1995, Oregon is now developing a registry.
  – With the new Federal regulation surrounding EMR adoption, now is the time to include information about MOLST.
How MOLST addresses care at the transitions…

Provides clarity and prevents indecision about what a patient’s preferences are at the end of life; Makes it clear to providers and families what the next step and setting of appropriate care is for the patient or resident.

Palliative Care Transitions Communication Model

- Hospital (Inpatient, Outpatient, ED)
- Hospice (home, SNF, hospital IP, or free-standing facility)
- IRF/Other Extended Care
- Nursing Home
- SNF (non-hospice care)
- LTAC
- Home (non-hospice care)
- Home Care

Bi-directional flow of MOLST information at each transition point.
1. Preventing Unnecessary Hospitalizations Project (IHI)
   - Commonwealth Fund grant to study ways to reduce avoidable hospital readmissions.
   - Focus on process improvements for hospital discharge and technical assistance for reducing re-hospitalizations.
   - MA is one of many project states.
   - 4-year project starts in May, after the 9-mth planning phase
   - The project is about improving the community-oriented continuum of care but will initially focus on hospital discharges.
   - IHI encouraging hospitals to create local teams with nursing homes, home health agencies, and other providers.
   - Related Potentially Preventable Readmissions Project- DHCFP)

1. Continuum of Care Consortium (Cooley Dickinson)
   “People-intensive model” to reduce readmissions by congestive heart failure patients admitted 4-5 times a month, including their palliative needs have to be addressed; “We’re desperately waiting for the MOLST tool!”
The full potential of the MOLST Program to improve the care and quality of life for patients at the end of life and their families in MA will only be realized through interdisciplinary collaboration, education, and partnerships spanning across the borders of our multi-faceted and complex health care system...

THANK YOU!
Appendix 1: Research support for MOLST

These and more citations at [www.polst.org](http://www.polst.org), link: [http://www.ohsu.edu/polst/resources/research+references.htm](http://www.ohsu.edu/polst/resources/research+references.htm)

- In hospices in OR, WI, and WV, POLST is well regarded by hospice staff and allows for greater individualization of care plans than traditional approaches focused on code status. In the hospice population, DNR does not equal “do not treat.”
- EMTs in OR find the POLST Program useful in making treatment decisions for seriously ill patients and often use the form, when present, to change treatment decisions.
- In a sample of nursing homes across OR, WV, and WI:
  1. POLST is effective at limiting use of unwanted list-sustaining treatments, and
  2. POLST is effective at translating treatment patients of dying patients into immediately actionable medical orders.
     - Patient preferences are documented as treatment orders that can be followed.
     - A wide range of treatments can be discussed.
     - Among nursing home residents, those with POLST orders HAD many more medical orders about LST than residents with more traditional ADs.

3. National Institute of Nursing Research-funded study in 139 hospice programs and 685 nursing homes in OR, WV, and WI (Lacrosse area)